

Evaluating the different fronthaul options and the technical requirements for the different scenarios

Philippe Chanclou, Orange Labs Networks Sebastien Randazzo, Orange Technical Direction RAN&Backhaul Networks Session : WHAT ARE THE FRONTHAUL STRATEGIES 21th May 2015, Berlin, Germany





Contents

- 1. Back-, mid- and front-haul
- 2. Cloud RAN architectures
- 3. Fronthaul requirments and transport technologies
- 4. Fronthaul evolution: 5G and Ethernet-fronthaul
- 4. Conclusion

1. Clarification : Back-, mid-, and front- haul



According to MEF, midhaul is backhaul from small-cell BSs to a macro BS:

- MEF definition (MEF 22.1.1, Mobile Backhaul Phase 2, Amendment 1, 2014/01/27): Backhaul extension between a small cell base station (BS) and its master macrocell BS.
- "A variant of Mobile Backhaul termed Midhaul that refers to the network between base station sites (especially when one site is a small cell site)."

1. Clarification : Back-, mid-, and front- haul

- Back- & Mid-haul are network segment compatible with standardized Ethernet access interfaces:
 - optical PtP interface
 - G-PON (FTTx PtMP)
 - mwave
- Current dominant Fronthaul interface is based on a specification designed as a backplane extension
 - CPRI* is not a legacy interface to be carried over existing access protocols (Ethernet,...)
 - CPRI is only a MSA (Mutual Standard Agreement)

Contents

- 1. Back-, mid- and front-haul
- 2. Cloud RAN architectures
- 3. Fronthaul requirments and transport technologies
- 4. Fronthaul evolution: 5G and Ethernet-fronthaul
- 4. Conclusion

Cloud-RAN migration



Fibre between remote BBU and Radio head known as "Fronthaul" CRAN = Cloud RAN BBU = Base Band Unit BS = Base Station RRH = Remote Radio Head

Different C-RAN architectures

- Wide C-RAN
 - Macrocells + Hetnets
- Private and Local C-RAN
 - Micro or small cells
 - Outdoor: Local C-RAN
 - Indoor: Private C-RAN

DC: Data Center CO: Central Office



①Private C-RAN







Fronthaul: a new segment that comes with Centralised Radio Access Network



Fronthaul interfaces: CPRI, OBSAI, ORI Fronthaul mediums:

- Optical Fiber : Single Mode Fiber with or without color flavors
- Wireless : several RF bands possible with or without spectral efficiency

Fronthaul interface: at the heart of a basestation



- 2003: Common Public Radio Interface (CPRI)
 - Physical layer: copper or optical fibre based on SFP connectivity
- 2002: Open Base Station Architecture Initiative (OBSAI)
- 2010: ETSI Open Radio Interface (ORI)
 - Multi-vendor interoperability (CPRI based)
 - Allows for compression



internal interface specification

All base stations are based on **a internal digitised radio interface** between RU and DU.

It is a **serial Constant Bit Rate** interface based on SFP connectivity for banalisation, volume and interoperability.

Contents

- 1. Back-, mid- and front-haul
- 2. Cloud RAN architectures
- 3. Fronthaul requirments and transport technologies
- 4. Fronthaul evolution: 5G and Ethernet-fronthaul
- 4. Conclusion

Fronthaul requirements 1/2

1. Radio site configuration:

- **Macrocells:** 3 sectors x 4-5 Radio Access Technologies/bands \rightarrow up to 15 RRH
- Micro/small cells: 1 sector x 4-5 Radio Access Technologies
- **2.** Bit-rate requirements per antenna site (symmetrical Bit-rate):
 - 1 sector $2G \rightarrow$ few Mbps should be required but RAN vendors propose CPRI 2.457Gbit/s
 - 1 sector $3G \rightarrow$ few 100 Mbps should be required but RAN vendors propose CPRI 2.457Gbit/s
 - 1 sector LTE 20MHz 2x2 MIMO → CPRI 2.457Gbit/s
 - 1 sector LTE 20MHz 4x4 MIMO \rightarrow CPRI 4.9Gbit/s



Fronthaul requirements 2/2

Fronthaul requirement	From standards	From RAN providers
Latency : RTT (Round Trip Time)	Max. 500 µs (NGMN) 5µs excl. cable (CPRI)	500 µs possible but no more than 150 µs (30km) recommended to allow CoMP implementation
Latency Up/Down unbalance	3GPP/ETSI - UE positioning error (RSTD* - localization) accuracy : ± 163 ns * RSTD: Reference Signal Time Difference Measurement	 ± 125 ns equivalent to - ≈ 25m fibre - ≈ 20km SMF chromatic dispersion 1,3/1,55µm (B&W transceiver) - all processing time diff. ONU/OLT
Latency accuracy	CPRI: - Link Timing Accuracy: ± 8 ns - Round Trip Delay Accuracy: ± 16 ns 3GPP/ETSI: - UE transmission timing accuracy (T _{ADV}): ± 130ns	
Jitter & wander	 CPRI (guided by XAUI specifications (IEEE 802.3)) Freq. deviation : ± 2 ppb (3GPP: 50ppb) 	RMS ≈ 1.8 ps Peak-To-Peak ≈ 26 ps
BER	10 ⁻¹²	10 ⁻¹²

Wireless fronthaul (CPRI)



With wireless fronthaul, turn existing macro site into local C-RAN

Easier and faster deployment, same network architecture, better radio performance

Optical fronthaul (CPRI)



Discussion about fronthaul transport

PRO			CONS
 High efficiency fiber sharing WDM management Native OAM and demarcation 	 Risk on needed for CPRI rational Power state Foot printing Cost issues 	performance (or CPRI te dependent supply required nt (cooling cab	(latency, synchro) d binet)
High efficiency fiber shWDM management	aring	- Power supp - Foot print (c	oly required cooling cabinet)
- Native OAM and dema - Transparent CPRI trans	arcation sport	- "Cost" issue	9
 Fiber sharing (18 CPRI / up to 200 Gbit/s per fiber) No power supply (high reliability) Suited for outdoor deployment Quick qualification process No introduction of transport constraint Passive demarcation point Low foot print Low "Cost" Passive demarcation point 		- WDM management by RAN	
	PRO - High efficiency fiber sharing - WDM management - Native OAM and demarcation - High efficiency fiber sha - WDM management - WDM management - Native OAM and dema - Transparent CPRI transform - Transparent CPRI transform - No power supply (high relif - Suited for outdoor deploy - Quick qualification process - No introduction of transpor - Passive demarcation point - Low foot print - Low "Cost" - Passive demarcation point - OAM by RAN	 PRO High efficiency fiber sharing WDM management Native OAM and demarcation Power se Foot print Cost issi 	 PRO High efficiency fiber sharing WDM management Native OAM and demarcation High efficiency fiber sharing WDM management Transparent CPRI transport Fiber sharing (18 CPRI / up to 200 Gbit/s per fiber) Suited for outdoor deployment Quick qualification process No introduction of transport constraint Low foot print Low foot print Amagement Low foot print Anative demarcation point Cost issue

What is a passive fronthaul solution?



Contents

- 1. Back-, mid- and front-haul
- 2. Cloud RAN architectures
- 3. Fronthaul requirments and transport technologies
- 4. Fronthaul evolution: 5G and Ethernet-fronthaul
- 4. Conclusion

What will be 5G?



- LTE: Max DL 300Mbit/s
- LTE-A: Max DL 1Gbit/s
 - improvements based on carrier aggregation, MIMO, enhanced interference coordination and coordinated MultiPoint



- 5G should support:
 - **1000 times** higher mobile data volume per area
 - 10 100 times higher number of connected devices
 - 10 -100 times higher typical user data rate
 - 10 times longer battery life
 - 5 times reduced End-to-End latency



5G impact on fronthaul

CPRI link-rate explosion:

- LTE-A 20MHz 8x8 MIMO
- 5G 100MHz
 >> 100MHz at mm-waves
 Massive MIMO
- \rightarrow CPRI 9.8Gbit/s
- \rightarrow CPRI 25Gbit/s or more
 - \rightarrow CPRI rate ?
 - \rightarrow Compression? new functional split between RU and DU?
- Fronthaul and backhaul coexistence?
- Adaptable fronthaul for dynamic network load?
- CRAN load balancer: CPRI switch?
- 5G End-to-End Latency: 5 times reduced?



Fronthaul over Ethernet: the promise, but with some challenges

IEEE 1904.3 Task Force (RoE) in progress

Standard for Radio Over Ethernet Encapsulations and Mappings

- A lot of work ongoing on fronthaul over Ethernet:
 - possibility to reuse Ethernet connectivity inside the RAN but not on transport network
 - Ethernet includes natively OAM
 - Linked with compression & functional split work
- However some challenges:
 - CPRI: constant bit rate interface transporting also synchronization to RRH
 - Packetization → delay and utilization of Eth packets
 - Frequency and time/phase synchronization.
 - Switchs and gateways must be « transparent » and CPRI dedicated?
 - To address an antenna site, several CPRI over Eth. links must be carried
 - WDM is the must-have for fronthaul network for either native CPRI or CPRIoEth.
- Re-used Ethernet backhaul equipment/network for RoEthernet is not trivial
 - number of ports (one RRH = one RoE, one antenna site = several 1GEth RoE)
 - switching policy and capacity (transparent mode)
 - synchronisation
 - scalability

Conclusions and next steps

C-RAN drivers and global perspective	 Radio Site engineering solution & hardware sharing Radio performance improvements and future proof for LTE-A Hybrid Fronthaul/Backhaul solution needed to address HetNets C-RAN to co-exist with regular RAN architecture 	
Wireless Fronthaul	 Wireless fronthaul commercially available today for network densification and local C-RAN Use of millimetric bands in future for massive small cells 	
Fiber Fronthaul	 - CWDM ready: simple, passive, cost effective and future proof - CWDM single fiber working: increase fiber sharing and operational simplification – in the roadmap - Transponder if wavelength management is an issue - supervision and OAN of fronthaul by RAN 	
Fronthaul	- RAN OSS to support fronthaul link (Fiber and wireless)	
CPRI redefinition if needed	 CPRI transport: include natively the OAM of the medium New functional split interface to reduce bandwidth? Packetized fronthaul? Reference configuration including demarcation point Sleep mode for energy efficiency? 	

Thank you Merci Danke Grazie Tack 谢谢 감사합니다 ありがとうございました



















DWDM: Dense Wavelength Division Multiplexing